



LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF MANITOBA

VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS

FOURTH SESSION, THIRTY-EIGHTH LEGISLATURE

PRAYER

1:30 O'CLOCK P.M.

The following petitions were presented and read:

Mrs. ROWAT – Legislative Assembly of Manitoba to request the Provincial Government to consider stopping the removal of these positions from our community (Minnedosa), and to consider utilizing current technology in order to maintain these positions in their existing location. (K. Miko, L. Cook, A. Croue and others)

Mrs. TAILLIEU – Legislative Assembly of Manitoba to request the Provincial Government to consider calling a public inquiry into all aspects of the delivery of child welfare services throughout Manitoba. (I. Rogers, J. Hamonic, G. Dikkema and others)

Mr. REIMER – Legislative Assembly of Manitoba to urge the Minister of Family Services and Housing and the Premier to consider amending legislation to improve the process by which grandparents can obtain reasonable access to their grandchildren. (V. Black, M. Zerbin, C. Sherb and others)

Hon. Mr. GERRARD – Legislative Assembly of Manitoba to request the Provincial Government to immediately cancel its plans to support the construction of the OlyWest Hog Plant and Rendering Factory near any urban residential area. (D. Plato, B. Gilbert, J. Tattrie and others)

Mr. LAMOUREUX – Legislative Assembly of Manitoba to request the Legislative Assembly of Manitoba to consider the need to seek clarification on why the Government did not act on fixing the Crocus fund back in 2001 and to urge the Premier and his Government to cooperate in making public what really happened. (J. Metcalfe, G. V. Heitn, M. Derksen and others)

Pursuant to Rule 26(1), Mr. NEVAKSHONOFF, Mrs. STEFANSON, Messrs. SWAN, SCHULER and JENNISSEN made Members' Statements.

Prior to Grievances, Mr. LAMOUREUX rose on a Matter of Urgent Public Importance and moved:

THAT in accordance with Rule 36(1), the ordinary business of the House be set aside to discuss a Matter of Urgent Public Importance, namely, the disclosure of significant cost overruns with the Red River Floodway Expansion Project, the possibility of scaling back current expansion plans in order to stay within budget, and the potential danger this might have for the City of Winnipeg and other communities along the Red River.

And Mr. LAMOUREUX, Hon. Mr. ASHTON and Mr. GOERTZEN having spoken to the urgency of the motion,

WHEREUPON Mr. Speaker ruled as follows:

I thank the Honourable Members for their advice to the Chair on whether the motion proposed by the Honourable Member for Inkster should be debated today. The notice required by Rule 36(1) was provided. Under our Rules and Practices, the subject matter requiring urgent consideration must be so pressing that the public interest will suffer if the matter is not given immediate attention. There must also be no other reasonable opportunities to raise the matter.

I have listened very carefully to the arguments put forward, however I was not persuaded that the ordinary business of the House should be set aside to deal with this issue today.

Additionally, I would like to note that there are other avenues for Members to raise this issue, including questions in Question Period, raising the item under Members' Statements, and raising the issue during the consideration of Estimates for Capital Investment.

Therefore, with the greatest of respect, I rule the motion out of order as a Matter of Urgent Public Importance.

The House resumed the Adjourned Debate on the Proposed Motion of Hon. Mr. BJORNSON:

THAT Bill (No. 35) – The Public Schools Finance Board Amendment and The Public Schools Amendment Act/Loi modifiant la Loi sur la Commission des finances des écoles publiques et la Loi sur les écoles publiques, be now read a Second Time and be referred to a Committee of this House.

And the debate continuing,

And leave having been denied to have the matter remain in the name of Mr. GOERTZEN,

And Mrs. STEFANSON having spoken,

And the Question being put. It was agreed to.

The Bill was accordingly read a Second Time and referred to a Committee of this House.

Monday, May 29, 2006

The House resumed the Adjourned Debate on the Proposed Motion of Hon. Ms. WOWCHUK:

THAT Bill (No. 31) – The Animal Diseases Amendment Act/Loi modifiant la Loi sur les maladies des animaux, be now read a Second Time and be referred to a Committee of this House.

And the debate continuing,

And leave having been denied to have the matter remain in the name of Mr. DYCK,

And Mr. EICHLER having spoken,

The debate was, on motion of Mr. LAMOUREUX, adjourned.

The House resumed the Adjourned Debate on the Proposed Motion of Hon. Ms. WOWCHUK:

THAT Bill (No. 20) – The Family Farm Protection Amendment and Farm Lands Ownership Amendment Act/Loi modifiant la Loi sur la protection des exploitations agricoles familiales et la Loi sur la propriété agricole, be now read a Second Time and be referred to a Committee of this House.

And the debate continuing,

And leave having been denied to have the matter remain in the name of Mr. DYCK,

And Mr. EICHLER and Hon. Mr. GERRARD having spoken,

During the debate, Mr. LAMOUREUX rose on a Matter of Privilege regarding the Rules and Practices of the House and Beauchesne citation 33.

WHEREUPON Mr. Speaker ruled there was no Matter of Privilege.

And Mr. LAMOUREUX having spoken,

And the Question being put. It was agreed to, on division.

The Bill was accordingly read a Second Time and referred to a Committee of this House.

The House resumed the Adjourned Debate on the Proposed Motion of Hon. Mr. SELINGER:

THAT Bill (No. 24) – The Consumer Protection Amendment Act (Government Cheque Cashing Fees)/Loi modifiant la Loi sur la protection du consommateur (frais d'encaissement des chèques du gouvernement), be now read a Second Time and be referred to a Committee of this House.

And the debate continuing,

And leave having been denied to have the matter remain in the name of Mr. FAURSCHOU,

And Mr. REIMER having spoken,

The debate was, on motion of Mr. LAMOUREUX, adjourned.

The House resumed the Adjourned Debate on the Proposed Motion of Hon. Mr. SELINGER:

THAT Bill (No. 25) – The Consumer Protection Amendment Act (Payday Loans)/Loi modifiant la Loi sur la protection du consommateur (prêts de dépannage), be now read a Second Time and be referred to a Committee of this House.

And the debate continuing,

And leave having been denied to have the matter remain in the name of Mr. FAURSCHOU,

And Mr. REIMER having spoken,

The debate was, on motion of Mr. LAMOUREUX, adjourned.

The House resumed the Adjourned Debate on the Proposed Motion of Hon. Ms. ALLAN:

THAT Bill (No. 30) – The Fires Prevention and Emergency Response Act/Loi sur la prévention des incendies et les interventions d'urgence, be now read a Second Time and be referred to a Committee of this House.

And the debate continuing,

And leave having been denied to have the matter remain in the name of Hon. Mr. ASHTON,

And Messrs. GOERTZEN and SCHULER having spoken,

The debate was, on motion of Mr. LAMOUREUX, adjourned.

Hon. Ms. MCGIFFORD moved:

THAT Bill (No. 29) – The Degree Granting Act/Loi sur l'attribution de grades, be now read a Second Time and be referred to a Committee of this House.

And a debate arising,

Monday, May 29, 2006

And Hon. Ms. MCGIFFORD having spoken,

The debate was, on motion of Mr. LAMOUREUX, adjourned.

The House then adjourned at 5:02 p.m. until 10:00 a.m. Tuesday, May 30, 2006.

Hon. George HICKES,
Speaker.